Correspondence in context

Redacted reading copy with privacy redactions

This reading copy preserves each distinct message in the available November 7–10, 2020 exchange, as forwarded in October 2021. A separate November 2023 letter follows. It is not all correspondence between these people, and it does not combine separate messages into a single conversation.

The eight-page source printout is supplied separately. Its collapsed quoted-text labels are part of that printout; the readable record below uses actual available Gmail bodies rather than inventing missing text.

Reading method

Each new message appears once. Repeated quoted history and nine later transport forwards are indexed instead of printed repeatedly. One message visible only within quoted history is identified as such. Dates and times are reproduced as displayed in source headers, not normalized; some nested quotations differ by two hours.

Redactions

Contact details, family identifiers and identifying private-theory details remain withheld. Bracketed notices mark redactions. Photograph panels remain withheld pending an agreed treatment. Original emojis and wording are preserved in retained passages. An omission is not agreement with an allegation.

Source provenance

Ten forwarded messages were received October 15, 2021; nine later copies are dated October 15, 2023. The Gmail thread has 19 stored messages. Original 2020 mailbox copies were not separately verified. Statements are attributed accounts, not independently established facts.

1. Edee | Sat, Nov 7, 2020 at 10:55 AM

> Do you know this guy? Does your mother know him?

Source message 17c8564e0f9224b5; October 2021 forwarded record.

[Photograph withheld: portrait. Original attachment retained privately; image treatment pending.]

2. Correspondent | Sat, Nov 7, 2020 at 2:49 PM

> No, what is the context? I feel like I've seen that second picture somewhere.

Source message 17c856568cc06dc2; October 2021 forwarded record.

Quoted-only message | November 7, 2020 at 10:37 AM

> How about these three?

Attributed to Edee within the quoted history of message 17c856568cc06dc2. No separate original message was verified. The quoted history also repeats the preceding recognition question. [Group photograph withheld pending review.]

3. Edee | Sat, Nov 7, 2020 at 5:21 PM

> [Names and relationship details redacted], who very probably played an *integral part* in your dad's death.😡 Did you ask your mom?

Source message 17c85658cce2e805; October 2021 forwarded record.

4. Correspondent | Sat, Nov 7, 2020 at 5:51 PM

> [Private identifying theory details withheld. This message is present in the source but its identifying content is not reproduced.]

Source message 17c8565c168e7a59; October 2021 forwarded record.

5. Edee | Sat, Nov 7, 2020 at 6:04 PM

> I'm very curious to learn your mother's theory of "how and why she thinks it happened."

Source message 17c8565e50dbd30b; October 2021 forwarded record.

6. Correspondent | Sat, Nov 7, 2020 at 6:59 PM

> She said she will write you a letter. This is not something I want to be in the middle of.

Source message 17c85660924b10b1; October 2021 forwarded record.

7. Correspondent | Mon, Nov 9, 2020 at 2:45 PM

> Can I give her your email address? I wanted your permission before handing it over to somebody.

Source message 17c85662caef01ad; October 2021 forwarded record.

8. Edee | Mon, Nov 9, 2020 at 2:48 PM

> Sure…long as it’s not posted on Facebook or some other “social media.”

Source message 17c856662cded59e; October 2021 forwarded record.

9. Correspondent | Tue, Nov 10, 2020 at 7:22 PM

> Happy Birthday to you! ❤️

Source message 17c8566848f95de4; October 2021 forwarded record.

10. Edee | Tue, Nov 10, 2020 at 10:59 PM

> Thank you.😘

Source message 17c8566ab45b59d6; October 2021 forwarded record.

Repeated-history and transport-copy ledger

The quoted chains repeat earlier items above; they are not additional witnesses or additional messages by the quoted speaker. Nine later transport copies are retained in the private source record, covering items 1–9. Their routing details are omitted here.

The final thanks reply is item 10. Repetition is omitted for readability, not to hide a substantive response. Source timestamps remain as displayed even when nested quotes use different times.

Separate letter from Sherri

November 28, 2023 | Justice 4 Bob

This later sent message is a separate source, not part of the November 2020 exchange. It records Sherri’s denial and her account of the websites. Her characterization of allegations is her position, not an adjudicated finding.

Dear Edee,

[Personal family condolence details redacted.]

In your emails to [correspondent] I learned about your theory connecting me to Bob's death, and while it surprised me, I understand. However, let me be unequivocal in stating that I had no involvement in Bob's murder in any way, nor do I have knowledge of anyone else who might be involved.

I want to highlight that I created the http://Justice4BobHardesty.com digital flyer in 2021, solely aimed at generating leads for the LASD Unsolved Crimes Unit and/or Los Angeles CrimeStoppers.

Regarding the accusations and false information spread by Kim online, I felt compelled to respond definitively, and publicly— as that is how the accusations were made. I've published my one response at http://BobHardesty.com to avoid unnecessary drama and any back-and-forth. I am letting you know of its existence, since it was necessary to include your redacted emails to prove the fact that she’s defaming me.

Additionally, there is a bank document of Bob’s that I will add when I return home after the holidays. I have noted it in the document, in red type.

I remain dedicated to seeking justice for Bob and I'm willing to speak with any legitimate detective who may have questions, although I was interviewed at the time. I have nothing to hide.

This will likely be my final communication with you. I genuinely wish you peace and happiness. Hopefully, we will soon have the answers we seek regarding Bob's murder.

Warm regards, Sherri Renon

Source message 18c16f29b778add6. Website addresses are historical source wording, not a claim that those domains are currently controlled by the author.

Scope of the broader available collection

A fresh check found 47 message bodies in 11 known threads. This packet covers the complete unique content of the specified forwarded photo exchange, with stated privacy redactions, plus the separate 2023 letter. Other threads include the 2021 intermediary/privacy discussion, letters and 2024 outreach. They are available but are not reproduced in this packet.